UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Anthony Donald Rinkus	Case No. 1:10-cr-00384-RJJ
	Defendant	Case No. 1.10 of Cose 1 No.
	ter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Ref	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Fi	ndings of Fact
		n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of hat would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in	volves:
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250
	The offense described in finding (1) was committed wor local offense.	hile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presur person or the community. I further find that defendan	nption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another it has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternativ	e Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendan	t has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s	
(0)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a	
√ (1)	Alternativ There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	e Findings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	
(∠)	-	the Reasons for Detention
l fi		e detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
	a preponderance of the evidence that:	o determined from the desired by older and derivationing
	dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to cor	
	dant has been in state custody and would not be rele	
3. Deleno	dant may bring the issue of his continuing detention t	o the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	February 7, 2011	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	